

Sub-Gaussian Random Variables, Tail Probability Bounds With Random Number of Samples

Mevan Wijewardena

I. INTRODUCTION

Bounding the tail probability of an average of a random number of samples of a collection of random variables has application in multi-armed bandit problems [1]. In this article, we will be summarizing a few results on this.

II. SUB-GAUSSIAN RANDOM VARIABLES

A zero-mean random variable X is σ -sub-Gaussian if,

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{tX}\} \leq e^{\frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}}, \quad (1)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It can be shown that a zero-mean Gaussian random variable with standard deviation σ is σ -sub-Gaussian. We have the following lemma, which will be useful.

Lemma 1: For a zero-mean σ -sub-Gaussian random variable X , we have that, $\text{Var}(X) \leq \sigma$.

Proof: This can be proved using the Taylor expansion and the dominated convergence theorem. We will omit the proof for brevity. ■

Lemma 2: If X_1 , and X_2 are zero-mean independent σ_1 -sub-Gaussian, and σ_2 -sub-Gaussian random variables, respectively, then $X_1 + X_2$ is $\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$ -sub-Gaussian.

Proof: Notice that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{t(X_1+X_2)}\} = \mathbb{E}\{e^{tX_1}e^{tX_2}\} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}\{e^{tX_1}\}\mathbb{E}\{e^{tX_2}\} \leq e^{\frac{\sigma_1^2 t^2}{2}} e^{\frac{\sigma_2^2 t^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)t^2}{2}}, \quad (2)$$

where (a) follows from the independence of X_1 , and X_2 . Hence, we are done. ■

III. CHERNOFF BOUND FOR SUB-GAUSSIAN RANDOM VARIABLES

The following lemma establishes the Chernoff bound on the right tail probability for σ -sub-Gaussian Random variables.

Lemma 3 (Chernoff bounds): Given a zero-mean σ -sub-Gaussian random variable X and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, we have that,

$$P(X \geq \varepsilon) \leq e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \quad (3)$$

Also substituting $\varepsilon = \sqrt{2\sigma^2 \log(1/\delta)}$ in the above, we have that,

$$P\left(X \geq \sqrt{2\sigma^2 \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}\right) \leq \delta. \quad (4)$$

Proof: Notice that for any $t > 0$, we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \geq \varepsilon) &= P(tX \geq t\varepsilon) = P(e^{tX} \geq e^{t\varepsilon}) \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \frac{\mathbb{E}\{e^{tX}\}}{e^{t\varepsilon}} \stackrel{(b)}{\leq} e^{\frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2} - t\varepsilon} \\ &\leq e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}(t - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma^2})^2 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}} \leq e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where (a) follows from the Markov inequality, and (b) follows since X is σ -sub-Gaussian. ■

The left-tail probability can be bounded using a similar approach. In general, it holds that for a zero-mean σ -sub-Gaussian random variable X ,

$$P(|X| \geq \varepsilon) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \quad (6)$$

IV. TAIL PROBABILITY BOUNDS ON THE AVERAGE OF A RANDOM NUMBER OF SUB-GAUSSIAN RANDOM VARIABLES

Consider a sequence of independent and identically distributed zero-mean 1-sub-Gaussian random variables, $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$, and a random variable T which takes on positive integer values. Define,

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T X_t \right). \quad (7)$$

The problem of bounding the tail probabilities of \bar{X} naturally arises in the multi-armed bandit problem [1], specifically for obtaining worst-case regret bounds for different bandit algorithms. We will look at certain scenarios. First, we will consider the scenario in which T is independent of the collection $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$. In this case, a similar bound to the one derived in Section III holds. When

$\{X_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ is allowed to depend on T the above analysis does not hold in general. Unfortunately, this is the scenario that arises in most practical applications, such as bandit problems. On the bright side, a slightly weaker bound can be derived for the general case. In fact, this weaker bound is still very powerful since it does not affect the asymptotic worst-case regret bounds of bandit algorithms in most cases. For the following section, we follow an exercise in [1].

For convenience, we will define the collection of random variables, $\{X(t)\}_{t=1}^\infty$ where,

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{t} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^t X_\tau \right) \quad (8)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

A. $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ and T are independent

In this case, we have the following lemma. This bound is similar to the classical Chernoff bound obtained in Section III.

Lemma 4: When $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ and T are independent, we have that for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$P \left(\bar{X} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{T}} \right) \leq \delta. \quad (9)$$

Proof: Notice that,

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(\bar{X} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{T}} \right) &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P \left(\bar{X} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{T}} \middle| T = t \right) P(T = t) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P \left(X(t) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{t}} \middle| T = t \right) P(T = t) \\ &=_{(a)} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P \left(tX(t) \geq \sqrt{2t \log \frac{1}{\delta}} \right) P(T = t) \\ &\leq_{(b)} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{2t \log \frac{1}{\delta}})^2}{2t}} P(T = t) \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \delta P(T = t) = \delta, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where (a) follows since $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ and T are independent, and (b) follows from Lemma 3, since $tX(t)$ is \sqrt{t} -sub-Gaussian from Lemma 2 ■

B. $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ and T are dependent

Unfortunately, in this case, the bound derived in Section IV-A for the independent case does not hold in general. Before moving on to an example, we introduce the law of iterated logarithm.

Lemma 5 (Law of iterated logarithm): Given a collection of zero-mean random variables $\{Y_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ with unit variance. Then we have,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\tau=1}^t Y_\tau}{\sqrt{2t \log(\log(t))}} = 1 \text{ (almost surely)}. \quad (11)$$

Proof: A proof can be found in [2]. ■

Now, we use the law of iterated logarithm to establish the following lemma, which shows that the bound derived in Section IV-A is not generally valid.

Lemma 6: For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a random variable T such that,

$$P \left(\bar{X} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{T}} \right) = 1. \quad (12)$$

Proof: Fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let γ be the variance of X_t . Notice that from Lemma 1, $\gamma \leq 1$. We define T to be the least positive integer (if it exists) such that

$$TX(T) \geq \sqrt{2T \log \frac{1}{\delta}}. \quad (13)$$

Otherwise, we define $T = 1$. Let us define the two events,

$$A = \left\{ X(1) \geq \sqrt{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}} \right\}, \quad (14)$$

and

$$B = \left\{ \tau X(\tau) < \sqrt{2\tau \log \frac{1}{\delta}} \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

Notice that,

$$\{T = 1\} \equiv \{A \cup B\}. \quad (16)$$

We first establish that $P(B) = 0$. Notice that since $\{X_t/\sqrt{\gamma}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ is a collection of i.i.d zero mean unit variance random variables, from the law of iterated logarithm we have that

$$P \left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^\tau X_t}{\sqrt{2\tau\gamma \log(\log(\tau))}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall \tau \text{ sufficiently large} \right) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Hence, we have that,

$$P\left(\tau X(\tau) \leq \sqrt{2\tau \left(\frac{\gamma}{4}\right) \log(\log(\tau))} \quad \forall \tau \text{ sufficiently large}\right) = 0. \quad (18)$$

But notice that,

$$B \subset \left\{ \tau X(\tau) \leq \sqrt{2\tau \left(\frac{\gamma}{4}\right) \log(\log(\tau))} \quad \forall \tau \text{ sufficiently large} \right\} \quad (19)$$

since for any $\omega \in B$ and τ large enough such that,

$$\left(\frac{\gamma}{4}\right) \log(\log(\tau)) \geq \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \quad (20)$$

we have that,

$$\tau X(\tau)(\omega) \leq \sqrt{2\tau \left(\frac{\gamma}{4}\right) \log(\log(\tau))}. \quad (21)$$

Hence $P(B) = 0$. Now, we move on to the main proof. Notice that,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bar{X} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{T}}\right) &= P\left(TX(T) \geq \sqrt{2T \log \frac{1}{\delta}}\right) \\ &= P\left(TX(T) \geq \sqrt{2T \log \frac{1}{\delta}} \mid T = 1\right) P(T = 1) + P\left(TX(T) \geq \sqrt{2T \log \frac{1}{\delta}} \mid T > 1\right) P(T > 1) \\ &=_{(a)} P\left(X(1) \geq \sqrt{2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}, T = 1\right) + P(T > 1) \\ &= P(A \cap (A \cup B)) + P(T > 1) \\ &= P(A) + P(A \cup B) - P(A \cup A \cup B) + P(T > 1) \\ &= P(A) - P(A \cup B) + P(A \cup B) + P(T > 1) \\ &= -P(B) + P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap B) + P(T > 1) \\ &\geq P(A \cap B) + P(T > 1) = P(T = 1) + P(T > 1) = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where (a) follows since from the definition of T , we have that if $T > 1$, then,

$$TX(T) \geq \sqrt{2T \log \frac{1}{\delta}}, \quad (23)$$

and the last inequality follows since $P(B) = 0$. ■

Fortunately, a slightly weaker bound can be obtained. The following lemma summarizes the result.

Lemma 7: For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we have that,

$$P \left(\bar{X} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{T(T+1)}{\delta}}{T}} \right) \leq \delta. \quad (24)$$

Proof: Notice that,

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(\bar{X} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{T(T+1)}{\delta}}{T}} \right) &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P \left(\bar{X} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{T(T+1)}{\delta}}{T}}, T = t \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P \left(X(t) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{t(t+1)}{\delta}}{t}}, T = t \right) \\ &\leq_{(a)} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P \left(X(t) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \frac{t(t+1)}{\delta}}{t}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P \left(tX(t) \geq \sqrt{2t \log \frac{t(t+1)}{\delta}} \right) \\ &\leq_{(b)} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{2t \log \frac{t(t+1)}{\delta}})^2}{2t}} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta}{t(t+1)} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\delta}{t} - \frac{\delta}{t+1} \right) = \delta \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where (a) follows since for any two events A, B , $P(A, B) \leq P(A)$, and (b) follows from Lemma 3, since $tX(t)$ is \sqrt{t} -sub-Gaussian from Lemma 2. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Lattimore and C. Szepesvári, *Bandit Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [2] A. de Acosta, "A New Proof of the Hartman-Wintner Law of the Iterated Logarithm," *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 270 – 276, 1983. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176993596>